

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,517	BAILEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

All Participants:

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) Bahid Sharifi (Reg. No. 45,828).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative (Bahid Sharifi) of a possible restriction on claims 1 - 31. The claims are restricted into Group I (Claims 1 - 30) and Group II (claim 31). During a telephone conversation, Mr. Sharifi elects group I with traverse for prosecution.